

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,291	01/14/2002	Takayuki Nakajima	9683/100	2536
759	12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione		BADII, BEHRANG		
P O Box 10395	0610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60610			3621	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/031,291	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	NAKAJIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Behrang Badii	3621			

	Behrang Badii	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN COM	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a ving replies: (1) an ame tice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aboud ndment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the d		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) 06.07(f).	WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspond thortened statutory period that three months after the	ling amount of the fee. The approp for reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	hiik malay ka kha alaka af G	line a brint will not be antound b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or searc		Decause .
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by m		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why	of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> the affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ι	inder appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the cla	ims after entry is below or attac	hed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but The arguments are not persuasive.	t does NOT place the a	pplication in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	19) Paper No(s)	
		4	
		PRIMITY EXITIN	1
		I KIMAKY KAKUN	KK

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051130